#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 396 855 PS 024 399

AUTHOR Howard, Nancy A.; Norris, Maureen R.

TITLE Source, Characteristics, and Perceived Effectiveness

of Classroom Rules.

PUB DATE 25 Feb 94

NOTE 5p.

PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESC: PTORS \*Classroom Environment; \*Classroom Techniques;

Discipline; Elementary Education; Mail Surveys;

\*Student Behavior; Teacher Attitudes; Teacher Role

IDENTIFIERS \*Class Rules

#### **ABSTRACT**

This study was designed to investigate and validate the sources, characteristics, and perceived effectiveness of classrooms rules adopted by classroom practitioners. A survey of classroom rules was sent to a random sample of teachers in grades kindergarten through 8 in two large school systems in a midwestern metropolitan city; 128 surveys (27 percent) were returned. Results indicated that teachers were the source of classroom rules for 36 percent of the respondents, while a combination of teachers and students were the source indicated by 56 percent of the teachers. The mean number of rules for classroom management was 5.6, with about half the teachers listing rules for speaking, interaction, or movement; and about one third of the teachers listing rules regarding supplies or assignments. Teacher experience did not influence the number of classroom rules or the perception of rule effectiveness. Seventy percent of the classroom rules were stated in terms of desired behavior. (KDFB)



Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original document.

# U.S. IMPARTMENT OF EDUCATION Office of Educational Research and Improvement EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

# SOURCE, CHARACTERISTICS, AND PERCEIVED EFFECTIVENESS OF CLASSROOM RULES

Nancy A. Howard, Ph. D. and Maureen R. Norris, Ph.D.

Bellarmine College

Kentucky Grow Conference February 25, 1994 PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

# Maureen R. Norris

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

# Purpose of Study

The purpose of this study was to investigate and validate the sources, characteristics, and perceived effectiveness of classroom rules adopted by classroom practitioners. Typically, textbooks and other references regarding the nature and purpose of effective classroom rules suggest that rules be (a) limited in number (b) positive in tone and (c) mutually determined by the teacher and students (Good & Brophy, 1987; Putnam & Burke, 1992; Wakefield, J.F. 1996). In addition, Good and Brophy (1987) also suggested that rules should be analyzed regarding cost/benefit ratio. This study investigated how well these suggestions were applied in the typical elementary classroom today. In other words, what is the "state of the art" in terms of rule making?

The researchers were also interested in the possible effects of the number of years of teaching experience on the number of rules adopted and/or the perceived effectiveness of the rules in controlling the behavior for which the rule was designed. To that end, four hypotheses were advanced:

<u>Hypothesis 1:</u> That typically, teachers would publish five rules for classroom management.

Hypothesis 2: That more experienced teachers would name fewer rules for classroom management than less experienced teachers.

Hypothesis 3: That more experienced teachers would perceive their rules as more effective in controlling behavior chan less experienced teachers.

Hypothesis 4: That the majority of classroom rules would be stated positively ("Do" rules rather that "don't do" rules).

### Survey Procedures

A survey of classroom rules was sent to a random sample of teachers grades K-8 in two large school systems, one public (90,000+ students) and one parochial (25,000+ students) in a large metropolitan city in the midwest. Some 108 or 27% of the teachers returned the survey.

After providing basic demographic information, each teacher was asked to (1) name (verbatim) the rules published in his/her classroom, (2) name and describe the origin or source of rules (teacher determined, school determined, teacher-student determined etc.) and (3) on a scale of 1 to 10 (l=not effective; 10=very effective) indicate how effective each rule was in controlling/shaping the behavior for which it was intended.



Results of Survey

The following demographic information was collected regarding the survey sample:

N = 108

FEMALES = 99 MALES = 9

Age ranges of final sample: 25 or younger 22 26-35 36-45 43 16 46-55 55+ 9 2 NR Mode: 36-45 years Median 36-45 years

Grade level taught

Primary Grades 59
Middle Grades 29
Library 1
Special Education 19

Educational Level of Respondents

BA/BS 34
MA/MS 59
Post-MA 1
Other 13
NR 1

Respondents' Years of Teaching Experience

1 year or less 5
2-5 years 22
6-10 years 16
11-15 years 22
16-20 years 23
21-25 years 10
26+ years 9
NR 1

Mode: 16-20 years Median: 11-15 years

Sources of Classroom Rules

SOURCE	FREQUENCY	TOTAL
Teacher	39	36.11%
Teacher/Students	61	56.11%
Student	2	1.85%
Other	6	5.56%



### Results and Discussion

Hypothesis 1: That typically, teachers would publish five rules

for classroom management.

Finding:

Proven

Mean Number of Rules: 5.6

Range: 2-8 Rules

#### MOST FREQUENTLY NAMED RULES BY TYPE

Rule Type	Frequency	Percent of Total
1. Speaking Rules	59	54.63%
Example of Speaking Rules: Raise your hand to speak Speak softly/quietly No talking in halls No talking during seatwork		
2. Interaction Rules	57	52.78%
Example of Interaction Rules: Respect others Be patient and helpful Be courteous at all times Don't fight		

3. Movement Rules

53

49.07%

Example of Movement Rules: No running in building

Don't leave seat without permission

No horseplay

Keep hands, feet, objects to yourself

4. Rules Regarding Supplies

36

33.33%

Example of Materials Rules:

Always have a pencil/paper/pen

Have required supplies

Keep materials in desk neat and clean

Every student must have folder on his/her desk

5. Assignment Rules

33

30.56%

Example of Assignment Rules

Complete your assignments on time

Fully complete each assignment

Turn your homework in at the beginning of school/class

Check your work before submitting it



Hypothesis 2: That more experienced teachers would name fewer rules for classroom management than less experienced teachers.
Finding: Not Proven

Hypothesis 3: That more experienced teachers would perceive their rules as more effective in controlling behavior than less experienced teachers.

Finding: Not Proven

## RANK ORDER OF RULES BY PERCEIVED EFFECTIVENESS

Rule Type	Effectiveness		
	Scale of -3 to 3		
Assignment	+2.33		
Movement	+2.09		
Interaction	+2.05		
Materials	+1.99		
Speaking	+1.98		

Hypothesis 4: That the majority of classroom rules would be stated positively ("Do" rules rather that "don't do" rules).

Finding: Proven

Seventy-two (72) percent of all rules named by teachers who participated in the study were stated positively.

#### Conclusions

The results of this study seemed to indicate that generally (a) teachers identified five rules for their classrooms, (b) these rules were more likely to be stated in terms of the behavior desired (positively), and (c) the number of years of teaching experience had no effect on the number of rules named or the perceived effectiveness of those rules.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

Good, T. L. and Brophy, J.E. (1987). Looking into classrooms. 4th ed. New York: Harper and Row.

Putnam, J. and Burke, J. B. (1992). Organizing and managing classroom learning communities. New York: McGraw-Hill, Inc.

Wakefield, J.F. (1996) Educational psychology: Learning to be a problem solver. Boston: Houghlin Mifflin Company.

Walker, H. M., Colvin, G., and Ramsey, E. (1995). Antisocial behavior in school: Strategies and best practices. Boston: BrOoks/Cole Publishing Company.

